


### REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding non-final Office Action April 5, 2005. The Examiner has required the Applicant to elect to prosecute one of two groups of claims ("inventions") identified in the Office Action. In response to the restriction requirement, Applicant respectfully elects to prosecute Group I, Species I, without traverse. Applicant submits that claims 1-11 and 17 currently read on Species I.

Applicant notes for the record that "Species II," which pertains to Figure 6, is believed to be the same as "Species I." As is described in the specification on page 18, the apparatus 2000 shown in Figure 6 is similar to that of the apparatus shown in Figure 1, except that the apparatus 2000 is larger.

Applicant expressly reserves the right to present the non-elected claims, or variants thereof, in continuing applications to be filed subsequent to the present application. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding this response, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,



David R. Risley, Reg. No. 39,345

**THOMAS, KAYDEN,  
HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**  
Suite 1750  
100 Galleria Parkway N.W.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30339  
(770) 933-9500